



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2016

AS Avaron Asset Management





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2016 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
6	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index

Organisational Overview					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Public							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Additional information about organisation	✓	Public							✓
00 11	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 13	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓

Strategy and Governance					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	1	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	1	Public							✓
SG 08	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 10	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	1	Public				✓	✓	✓	
SG 11	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 12	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	~						
SG 13	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 15	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 16	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	8	n/a	✓	✓					
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation					Principle General				General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Public							✓
LEI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	8	n/a	1						
LEI 13	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 15	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 17	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - L	Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership					Principle G			General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	8	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	8	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

AS Avaron Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



	Basic	Inform	ation		
00 01		Manda	atory	Gateway/Peering	General
	00 (01.1	Select the services you offer.		
			agement		
			% of assets under management (AUM) in	ranges	
		O <10%			
		○ 10-5● >50%			
	□F		unds, manager of managers, sub-advised produ	cts	
	□0	ther, spe	ecify		
	□Е	xecution	and advisory services		
OO 02		Manda	ntory	Peering	General
C	OO 02.	.1	Select the location of your organisation's headq	uarters.	
Est	tonia				
C	OO 02.	.2	ndicate the number of countries in which you ha	ave offices (including your headquarters	s).
(⊚ 1				
	O 2-5				
	○ 6-10 ○ >10				
`	O >10				
C	OO 02.	.3	ndicate the approximate number of staff in you	organisation in full-time equivalents (F	TE).
			FTE		
,	15				
	10				
OO 03		Manda	itory	Descriptive	General
	OO 03.		ndicate whether you have subsidiaries within yo	our organisation that are also PRI signa	tories in
			heir own right.		
	○ Yes No				
OO 04		Manda	atory	Gateway/Peering	General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2015

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			616	432	441
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD			672	038	235

OO 06 Mandatory Descriptive General

OO 06.1

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	91	0
Fixed income	2	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	7	0
Other (1), specify	0	0

Other (2), specify	0	0

O Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 07 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Gateway General

00 07.1

Provide to the nearest 5% the percentage breakdown of your Fixed Income AUM at the end of your reporting year, using the following categories.

Internally managed		SSA
	0	
		Corporate (financial)
	67	
		Corporate (non-financial)
	33	
		Securitised
	0	
		Total
	100%	

OO 09 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Peering General

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.



Market breakdown	% of AUM
	○ 0%
	○ <10%
Developed Markets	10-50%
Developed Markets	○ >50 %
	○ 0%
	○ <10%
For again, a Foration and Other Madesta	O 10-50%
Emerging, Frontier and Other Markets	● >50 %

00 10.1

Provide any additional information about your organisation, its mission, strategies, activities or investments which are important to contextualise your responsible investment activities.

Avaron is a boutique asset management company focusing on Eastern European listed equity and fixed income asset classes. Avaron employs value-driven bottom-up investment style with strong emphasis on company quality. Portfolios run by Avaron are benchmark agnostic and have a small and mid-cap bias.

Gateway asset class implementation indicators 0011 **Mandatory** Gateway General Select your direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented, for listed 00 11.1 equities in the reporting year. ☑ We incorporate ESG in our investment decisions on our internally managed assets ☐ We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes. Select your direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity 00 11.2 in the reporting year. We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Select your direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the 00 11.3 reporting year ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf 00 12 General **Mandatory Gateway**



00 12.1

Select internally managed asset classes where you implemented responsible investment into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year)

☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial)
☐ Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
□ Cash
✓ None of the above

OO 12.3a

If your organisation does not integrate ESG factors into investment decisions on your internally managed assets, explain why not.

Our core investment strategy is Emerging Europe listed equities that accounts for 97% of the AUM. Thus, Avaron Responsible Invetsment Policy focuses on the listed equity asset class. Within the listed equity strategy fixed income instruments are only used occasionally for additional alpha generation purposes. Fixed income instruments used in the portfolio construction are issued by companies with equity listing in place, that are part of our internal equity research coverage list and thus already governed by our Responsible Investment Policy. As fixed income asset class is not our core focus and accounts for only marginal part of our AUM then we have not implemented a separate responsible investment approach in place for this asset class.

Cash is a residual outcome of our bottom-up portfolio construction process and we do not engage in active cash management. Majority of Avaron's AUM (90% end-2015) is in the form of managed accounts from institutional clients. In such case Avaron does not have discretion over the cash management and in which financial institutions the cash can be held. All our institutional clients that have appointed us to manage their Emerging Europe listed equity mandates have integrated responsible investment principles into their external manager/counterparty etc. selection. Regarding our own funds that account 10% of our AUM almost all (90%+) cash is held at our custodian Swedbank. Since Swedbank is also part of our internal research coverage list, it is also governed by our Responsible Investment Policy.



AS Avaron Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Responsible investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Core Assessed General

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	O Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Asset class-specific guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	

SG 01.3	Indicate what norms have you used to develop your RI policy.			
☑ UN Glo	obal Compact Principles			
☐ UN Gu	uiding Principles on Business and Human Rights			
☐ Univer	sal Declaration of Human Rights			
☐ International Bill of Human Rights				
☐ International Labour Organization Conventions				
☐ United Nations Convention Against Corruption				
□ OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises				
☑ Other,	specify (1)			

ICGN Global Governance Principles

other (1) description



\square Other, specify (2)
☐ Other, specify (3)
☐ None of the above

SG 01.4

Provide a brief description of the key elements of your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach [Optional].

Avaron has built two ESG layers into its investment process aiming to insure the ESG issues are consistently taken into account when making investment decisions:

- 1.Negative exclusion based on ethical considerations i.e. sector and/or country exclusion in order to not exceed acceptable levels of involvement in activities considered to be controversial such as weapons, alcohol, tobacco, gambling, adult content and activities in oppressive regimes. Our tolerance level dependent on the specific consideration ranges from 0% to 10% of company's revenues or operating profit.
- 2.A compliance check of companies with the main principles laid out in the United Nations Global Compact for social and environmental criteria, and separately with governance criteria set in-house. The main aim of this exercise is to filter out companies that have significantly and repeatedly breached the key principles, and assess any potential notable risks related to the long-term business outlook. When detecting incompliance cases we follow "engage or exclude" action.

O No

SG 02 **Core Assessed** PRI 6 **Mandatory** Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide URL and SG 02.1 an attachment of the document. ☑ Policy setting out your overall approach **URL** http://avaron.com/files/PRI/2012.07_Avaron_SRI_policy.pdf ☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors ☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors ☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors ☐ Screening / exclusions policy ☐ Engagement policy ☑ (Proxy) voting policy **URL** http://avaron.com/files/PRI/2011.10.10_Exercise_of_voting_rights_strategy.pdf



General

Core Assessed

☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

Mandatory

SG 03

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Avaron's Internal Policy addresses the conflicts of interest situations within the organization. The Policy determines the overarching principle to act in accordance with the best interests of the client, identifies a list of circumstances that may give rise to a conflict of interest situation and measures adopted to manage such situations. Some of the measures adopted to avoid and manage the conflict of interests situation within the investment process are the following:

- Avaron does not trade on own account (except for small amounts as part of analysts' training
 programme that is subject to limitations to make sure that client interests are not harmed) to avoid
 conflicts of interest from Avaron trading on its own account;
- Policy for Personal Transactions of the relevant persons has been adopted that limits the eligible securities and transactions and sets out reporting requirements of personal transactions;
- Prohibition on competition is applicable: the relevant persons are not allowed to be engaged in financial or investment services outside Avaron. The relevant persons are required to regularly submit declarations of economic interests;
- Best Execution Policy has been implemented to ensure the best execution for clients and avoid preferral of specific counterparties.

 \bigcirc No

Objectives and strategies				
SG 04	Ma	andatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
s	G 04.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation investment activities.	sets and reviews objectives for its resp	onsible
C	Quarterl	y or more frequently		
) Biannua	lly		
•	Annually	/		
	O Less frequently than annually			
	○ Ad-hoc basis			
	It is not	reviewed		
SG 05	Vo	luntary	Descriptive	General



List the main responsible investment objectives that your organisation set for the reporting year.

SG 05.1

Responsible investment processes					
☐ Provide training on ESG incorporation					
☐ Provide training on ESG engagement					
☐ Improved communication of ESG activities within the organisation					
☐ Improved engagement to encourage change with regards to management of ESG issues					
☐ Improved ESG incorporation into investment decision making processes					
☑ Other, specify (1)					
Alignment of the responsible investment approach with the requirements of our large managed account clients					
Key performance indicator					
 Number of requests from clients to change our RI approach Cases of our exclusion list not matching the client's one 					
Progress achieved					
During the reporting period there were no requests from clients to change our RI Policy.					
Our clients regularly update their investment exclusion lists based on the evolvement of their RI approach. During the reporting period we were faced with one client excluding all companies that had any exposure to coal mining or coal based generation upon which we decided to change our attitude towards these industries and limit such exposure starting from 2Q 2016.					
☐ Other, specify (2)					
☐ Other, specify (3)					
Financial performance of investments					
☐ Increase portfolio performance by consideration of ESG factors					
☑ Other, specify (1)					
other description (1)					
Limit losses incurred by the companies we are invested in from fines and penalties related to environmental offences					
Key performance indicator					
Number of fine and penalty events in companies we are invested in related to environmental offences					
Progress achieved					
During the reporting period we recorded one occasion whereby a company in our portfolio was fined due to accidental environmental pollution.					
☐ Other, specify (2)					
☐ Other, specify (3)					



ESG characteristics of investments					
☐ Over or underweight companies based on ESG characteristics					
☐ Improve ESG ratings of portfolio					
☑ Setting carbon targets for portfolio					
Key performance indicator					
Develop a database of companies in our internal coverage list that publish carbon emissions.					
Progress achieved					
Database development in process.					
☐ Other, specify (1)					
☐ Other, specify (2)					
☐ Other, specify (3)					
Other activities					
☑ Joining and/or participation in RI initiatives					
Key performance indicator					
Number of collaborative engagements supported that carry importance for Emerging Europe listed equity asset class					
Progress achieved					
We supported the Sustainable Stock Exchanges Initiative's (SSE) effort to call 61 stock exchanges to put in place a voluntary guidance for issuers on reporting ESG information by the end of 2016. In Emerging Europe Istanbul Stock Exchange has already published its own guidance documents, while Bucharest Stock Exchange has committed to publish them by the end of 2016.					
☐ Encouraging others to join a RI initiative					
☐ Documentation of best practice case studies					
☐ Using case studies to demonstrate engagement and ESG incorporation to clients					
☐ Using case studies to demonstrate engagement and ESG incorporation to clients					
 ☐ Using case studies to demonstrate engagement and ESG incorporation to clients ☐ Other, specify (1) 					
☐ Other, specify (1)					
☐ Other, specify (1) ☐ Other, specify (2)					
☐ Other, specify (1) ☐ Other, specify (2) ☐ Other, specify (3)					
☐ Other, specify (1) ☐ Other, specify (2)					

G 06 Mandatory

Core Assessed

General

SG 06.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.



	☑ Board ı	members or trustees			
	☑ O	versight/accountability for responsible investment			
	☑ Im	nplementation of responsible investment			
	□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment			
		Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee			
	☑ O	versight/accountability for responsible investment			
☑ Implementation of responsible investment					
	□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment			
	☐ Other (Chief-level staff or head of department, specify			
	☑ Portfoli	io managers			
		versight/accountability for responsible investment			
	☑ Im	nplementation of responsible investment			
	□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment			
	✓ Investn	ment analysts			
		versight/accountability for responsible investment			
	☑ Im	nplementation of responsible investment			
	□No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment			
	☐ Dedica	ated responsible investment staff			
	☐ Externa	al managers or service providers			
	☐ Investo	or relations			
	☐ Other r	role, specify			
	☐ Other r	role, specify			
	SG 06.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.			
		Number			
	0				
			_		
G 07	Vol	luntary Additional Assessed General			
		Indicate if your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development			
	SG 07.1	processes have a responsible investment element.			
	Board mem	nbers/Board of trustees			
	☐ Resp	ponsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan			
	✓ None of the above				
	011.45	11 - 07 - (0T0) 01 1 (1 - 1 - 1 - 1 0 T) 1 - (0T0) 1 - (
Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee					

Roles present in your organisation



☐ Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives	
☐ Responsible investment included in appraisal process	
☐ Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance	
$\hfill \square$ Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan	
☑ None of the above	
Portfolio managers	
☐ Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives	
☐ Responsible investment included in appraisal process	
☐ Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance	
$\hfill\square$ Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan	
☑ None of the above	
Investment analysts	
☐ Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives	
☐ Responsible investment included in appraisal process	
☐ Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance	
$\hfill\square$ Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan	
☑ None of the above	

SG 07.3

Provide any additional information on your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes in relation to responsible investment.

Avaron is a management owned boutique. All Investment Managers are shareholders of the company and have invested a notable part of thier personal wealth in Avaron investment products. Thus, all senior decision makers are directly motivated by the performance of Avaron and its products. As the underlying reason behind the ESG implementation into the investment process in Avaron is the belief that ESG issues affect the long-term performance of the portfolios, then the motivational scheme of senior staff can be considered to be related to the value added of the ESG analysis.

Investment Analysts variable pay is linked to Avaron performance as a company, and is decided upon their individual performance by their supervisors. Conducting ESG analysis is an integral part of the Analysts' job and is assessed as part of their overall company bottom-up analysis performance. No separate performance criteria related to ESG analysis has been set.

Personal training plans of the staff are set on annual basis during personal perfrormance review and may include also responsible investment related training if deemed necessary.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 08

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 08.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply



	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
☐ Modera	ate
☐ Advanc	red
☐ AFIC – La Con	nmission ESG
☐ Asian Corporat	te Governance Association
☐ Australian Cou	ncil of Superannuation Investors
□ BVCA – Respo	onsible Investment Advisory Board
	Change
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
☐ Modera	ate .
☐ Advanc	eed
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Signatory of the	e CDP investor initiative in 2014.
☑ CDP Forests	
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
☐ Modera	ate
☐ Advand	red
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Signatory of the	e CDP forests program in 2014.
☑ CDP Water	
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
☐ Modera	ate
☐ Advand	eed



 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Principles for Responsible Investment

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Signatory of the CDP water program in 2014.

☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity					
☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)					
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)					
☐ ESG Research Australia					
☐ Eumedion					
☐ EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable					
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)					
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)					
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)					
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)					
$\hfill \square$ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)					
$\hfill \square$ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)					
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)					
$\hfill \square$ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand ((IGCC)				
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)					
$\hfill \square$ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES					
☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum					
$\hfill\Box$ Principles for Financial Action for the 21st Century	☐ Principles for Financial Action for the 21st Century				
\square Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF	, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify				
$\hfill \square$ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share	e)				
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (U	NEP FI)				
☐ United Nations Global Compact					
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify					
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify					
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify					
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify					
Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4			
Indicate if your organisation promotes responsib	ale investment, independently of collabo	rative			
initiatives.	ne investment, independently of collabo	lative			

Yes



	SG 09.2	Indicate which of the following actions your of investment, independently of collaborative in		onsible		
	☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations					
	☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment					
	☑ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry					
	☐ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment					
	☐ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment					
	☐ Encou	raged the adoption of the PRI				
	□ Wrote	articles on responsible investment in the media	a.			
	☐ Other,	specify				
0	No					
SG 10	Volunta	ry	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6		
	In	dicate if your organisation - individually or in co	Maharatian with others, conducted dia	loguo with		
SG		iblic policy makers or regulators in support of re				
0	Yes					
•	No					
Im	nlementatio	n not in other modules				
	ipiomomatio	in not in clinor inicianes				
SG 11	Volunta	ry	Descriptive	PRI 1		
80	in	dicate if your organisation executes scenario a	nalysis and/or modelling in which the ri	sk profile of		
30	fu [·]	ture ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.				
0	Yes					
•	No					
SG		dicate if your organisation considers ESG issuessets between sectors or geographic markets.	es in strategic asset allocation and/or a	llocation of		
		sets between sectors of geographic markets.				
	Yes No					
	NO					
SG 12	Mandate	ory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Descriptive	PRI 1		
So		ome investment risks and opportunities arise as allowing you consider.	s a result of long term trends. Indicate v	which of the		
	☐ Changing demographics					



 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Climate change

	SG 12.2	Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and opportunity				
☐ Established a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy						
	☐ Targeted low carbon or climate resilient investments					
		ed portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings				
 ☐ Used emissions data or analysis to inform investment decision making ☐ Sought climate change integration by companies 						
□ Sought climate supportive policy from governments						
	☐ Other,	specify				
	□ None	of the above				
	SG 12.3 Indicate which of the following tools you use to manage emissions risks and opportunities					
	☐ Carbon footprinting					
	☐ Scenario testing					
	☐ Disclosure on emissions risk to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries					
	☐ Target setting for emissions risk reduction					
		rage internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risk				
	$\hfill\Box$ Emissions risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers					
	☐ Other,	specify				
	☐ None of the above					
	□ Resource scarcity					
□ Technology developments						
	☐ Other, specify(1)					
	☐ Other, specify(2)					
	□ None of the above					
1	12.5 Additional information [Optional]					

Portfolio construction in our core asset class (Emerging Europe listed equity) is fully bottom-up driven. As such we do not decide upon any type of general (country, sector, theme etc.) allocation. Long-term ESG related trends are taken into account in our internal company specific research, thus directly affecting the probability of a certain

As part of our general RI approach during the reporting period we decided to start limiting our exposure to coal (mining, generation etc.) industry to decrease the carbon footprint of our portfolios. Furhtermore, we set a long-term goal to start reporting the carbon footprint of our equity portfolios and started out by mapping our internal investment universe based on carbon emissions disclosure.

SG 1	3	Mar	ndatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Descriptive	PRI 1
	SG 13.	1	Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, environmental and social themed areas.	or manages, funds based on specific	
	○ Yes				
	No				



company ending up in our portfolios.

SG 17 Voluntary Descriptive General SG 17.1 Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

○ Yes● No

Assurance of responses

SG 18 Voluntary Additional Assessed General

SG 18.1

Indicate whether your reported information has been reviewed, validated and/or assured by internal and/or external parties.

Yes

SG 18.2 Indicate who has reviewed, validated and/or assured your reported information.

☑ Reviewed by Board, CEO, CIO or Investment Committee

☑ Validated by internal audit or compliance function

☐ Assured by an external independent provider, specify name

☐ Other, specify

SG 18.3

Describe the steps you have taken to review, validate and/or assure the content of your reported information.

The report has been validated by the Compliance Officer and reviewed by the Investment Committee and Management Board of Avaron.

 \bigcirc No



AS Avaron Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

LEI 01

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose

Gateway

General

LEI 01.1

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Passive

0

Active - quantitative (quant)

0

Active - fundamental and active - other

100

Total

100%

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 03

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1

LEI 03.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

☑ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)



 □ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) □ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) □ Screening + Integration strategies □ Thematic + integration strategies □ Screening + thematic strategies □ All three strategies combined □ No incorporation strategies applied 	
 □ Screening + Integration strategies □ Thematic + integration strategies □ Screening + thematic strategies □ All three strategies combined 	
☐ Thematic + integration strategies ☐ Screening + thematic strategies ☐ All three strategies combined	
☐ Screening + thematic strategies ☐ All three strategies combined	
☐ All three strategies combined	
G C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
☐ No incorporation strategies applied	
Total actively managed listed equities	

LEI 03.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

ESG factors are mainly considered in the investment decision- making and ownership practices with an aim to reduce investment risk. Screening, which is the main strategy of ESG risk management in Avaron, has proven to be an efficient approach taking into account the cost-benefit considerations that boutique-type houses need to address. At the same time being a bottom-up stock picker it is essential to have an ESG layer integrated into the investment process in order to have a sound and thorough fundamental basis for decision-making

LEI 04 Voluntary Additional Assessed PRI 1

LEI 04.1

Indicate what ESG information you use in your ESG incorporation strategies and who provides this information.

Type of ESG information

☑ Raw ESG company data

Indicate who provides this information

☐ ESG research provider

☐ Sell-side

☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team

☑ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager

☑ Company-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information



	Volunt	tary	Additional Assessed	PRI 1			
N	lo						
0 Y	'es						
LEI	04.3	Indicate if you incentivise brokers to provide E	SG research.				
		on used for the internal analysis is to a large externation sources.	ent sourced directly from the investee co	ompanies			
LEI	04.2	Provide a brief description of the ESG information across your ESG incorporation		of sources			
	Other, spe	ecity					
		e-specific analysis or ratings					
		buse – analyst or portfolio manager					
		ouse – specialised ESG analyst or team					
	☐ Sell-s	side					
	□ ESG	research provider					
lr	ndicate v	vho provides this information					
☑ S	creened	stock list					
		buse – analyst or portfolio manager					
	□ In-ho	ouse – specialised ESG analyst or team					
	☐ Sell-s	side					
	□ ESG	research provider					
lr	ndicate v	vho provides this information					
☑ C	Country-re	elated analysis or ratings					
	☐ Sector-related analysis or ratings						
	☑ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager						
	☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team						
	□ Sell-side						
	☐ ESG research provider						



LEI 05

LEI 05.1

Indicate if your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.

- - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - O We occasionally make this information available.
 - O We do not make this information available.
- ☑ (Proxy) voting
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - O We occasionally make this information available.
 - O We do not make this information available.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06 Mandatory Descriptive PRI 1

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

☑ Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- ☐ Product
- ☐ Activity
- ☑ Country/geographic region
- ☐ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☐ Corporate governance

Description

The first layer of our ESG approach is negative exclusion based on ethical considerations i.e. sector and/or country exclusion in order to not exceed acceptable levels of involvement in activities considered to be controversial such as weapons, alcohol, tobacco, gambling, adult content and activities in oppressive regimes. Our tolerance level dependent on the specific consideration ranges from 0% to 10% of company's revenues or operating profit.

The list of oppressive regimes is based on the latest Economist Intelligence Unit (www.eiu.com) Democracy Index, which measures the state of democracy in 167 countries. The index covers five different categories: electoral process and pluralism, functioning of the government, political participation, political culture and civil liberties. Oppressive regimes have been defined as countries with 2.0 or lower score on a scale from zero to ten of the Index. In addition, the European Union restrictive measures within the framework of the Common Foreign and Security Policy and the list of countries identified for sanctions by the UN Security Council are followed on an ongoing basis, with the possibility to make additional exclusions if deemed necessary.



☐ Positive/best-in-class screening
☑ Norms-based screening
•

Screened by

☑ UN Global Compact Principles
 ☐ The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
 ☐ International Labour Organization Conventions
 ☐ United Nations Convention Against Corruption
 ☐ OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
 ☑ Other, specify

ICGN Global Governance Principles

Description

The second ESG layer - the ESG compliance check - takes the UN Global Compact as a basis for our social and environmental compliance check for the investee companies. The compliance check of corporate governance issues are assessed based on the International Corporate Governance Network Corporate Governance Principles, bearing in mind the possible local differences in the prevailing conduct code.

The companies' ESG compliance assessment, is based on 13 criteria covering environmental, social and governance aspects with the aim to filter out companies that have significantly and repeatedly breached any of the key principles. The criteria are the following:

- Abuses of international and/or local environmental norms and protocols
- · Implementation of environmental technologies where applicable
- Activities to promote environmental responsibilities
- · Abuses of human rights
- Cases of child labour and forced labour usage
- Safety of working environment and products
- Freedom of association and recognition of the right to collective bargaining
- Cases of corruption, extortion and/or bribery
- Transparency of the business
- Abuses of minority shareholder rights
- Share structure, board composition, independence and remuneration
- Adequate investor communication (availability, sufficiency, quality)
- Reporting and policy framework (formal code of business conduct, ESG policy and reporting)

LEI 06.2

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

Avaron's RI Policy, outlining our ESG approach, is reviewed annually and updated on as needed basis. In case of changes in the policy we notify our clients via the quarterly ESG thematic section in our newsletters and in our annual RI report. Latest version of the policy is accessible on our webpage.

LEI 07 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 1



	LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.	
	☐ Compreh	ensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.	
☐ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on the and correct inaccuracies			
☐ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internate by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar			
	☑ Company policies	ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund	
		tee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research are or all screening decisions	
	☐ A periodic	c review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out	
	☑ Other, sp	ecify	
	Avaron's	Investment Committee validates the ESG analysis in the case of all new portfolio investments.	
	☐ None of t	he above	

LEI 07.2 Additional information. [Optional]

In Avaron portfolio construction is fully bottom-up driven. Stock selection is done based on the in-house company specific research. A lot of emphasis is put on company quality (i.e. management, business model, financial standing, ESG), which has over the years enabled us to build an internal Emerging Europe coverage universe of roughly 250 companies. ESG research is an integral part of the company analysis our investment team undertakes. We believe that our edge in generating attractive investment returns for our clients is based on the diligent and in-depth company level research approach. Given that this specific accumulated knowledge is strategically important with ESG analysis being one part of it, we have decided to build the ESG related competence of our investment team also in-house and not to rely on third-party service providers.

LEI 08	Volunta	ry	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	LEI 08.1	Indicate which processes your organisation	n uses to ensure that fund criteria are no	ot breached
		tic checks are performed to ensure that stocks	s meet the funds' screening criteria.	
	☑ Automated IT systems prevent investment managers from investing in excluded stocks or those that do not meet positive screening criteria.			se that do
	☐ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit function			
	☐ Other, specify			
	☐ None of t	he above		

LEI 08.2 If breaches of fund screening criteria are identified - describe the process followed to correct those breaches.

In case of breaches on sector/country exclusions (first ESG layer) once a breach is detected the position will be liquidated.

Breaches on companies' ESG compliance (second ESG layer) automatically trigger "engage or exclude" action. Furtheron, the responsible Analyst shall assess the gravity/severity of the breach, namely:

- the temporal proximity (when and for how long has the incident occurred);
- size (financial costs, land polluted etc. associated with the incident);
- credibility (allegations, legal action taken etc.);
- and repetitiveness (is the incident a one-off incident or it is evidence of failings over a period of time).



Emphasis is also placed on a company's response to the incident with favourable consideration for positive and responsible practices taken by the company to ensure that such a breach does not occur again. For some controversial activities, in addition to the level of involvement, it is also important to consider how the company approaches and considers its potentially contentious activities. Therefore, the presence (or absence) of relevant and targeted responsible policy that acknowledges the company's involvement in an activity, as well as the existence of systems and practices taken to ensure that it operates in a responsible manner, are important elements in the assessment.

Should the ESG compliance breach occur for companies Avaron is currently invested in, then after the initial breach analysis is completed, the responsible Investment Manager shall bring the case in front of the Investment Committee that decides upon further action. In case the engagement option is excluded, the investment will be liquidated. If engagement will be pursued then the objective and timeline for the engagement will be decided upon and engagement process started. Should the investee company fail to address the raised issue within a reasonable timeframe, the investment will be liquidated.

Outputs and outcomes

LEI 14 Voluntary

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 14.1

Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.

Describe any reduction in your starting investment universe or other effects.

Negative screening has reduced the number of companies in our investment universe mostly based on insufficient governance but also based on sector (e.g. arms production, gambling, tobacco, alcohol) and country exposure (e.g. Emerging Europe companies having substantial business interests in Syria)

Specify the percentage reduction (+/- 5%)

%

10

LEI 14.2

Additional information.

In addition, Avaron uses a quality score to rank companies in terms of quality in our coverage universe on 0-100 scale. Governance issues are also additionally assessed as part of the quality score. Quality score is taken into account in portfolio construction - the lower the quality, the higher upside we require in order the company to be added to the portfolio. Low quality names with a score below 50 are not eligible to invest in.

LEI 15 Voluntary Additional Assessed PRI 1



LEI 15.1

Indicate whether your organisation measures how your approach to ESG issues in listed equity investments has affected financial and/or ESG performance.

- ☐ We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' reputation
- ☑ We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' financial performance: return

b) Funds' financial performance: return

Describe the impact on:	Describe the impact	Which strategies were analysed?
	O Positive	
Funds' financial performance: return	○ Negative	
	○ No impact	

☑ We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' financial performance: risk

c) Funds' financial performance: risk

Describe the impact on:	Describe the impact	Which strategies were analysed?
	Positive	
Funds' financial performance: risk	○ Negative	
	○ No impact	

- \square We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' ESG performance
- ☐ None of the above

LEI 15.2

Describe how you are able to determine these outcomes

We measure 1YR, 3YR and 5YR returns and volatility of the equal weighted portfolio of excluded companies and compare it to Avaron Emerging Europe Fund. Total net returns of our Fund are consistently higher compared to the portfolio of excluded names: 1YR +5% vs -11%, 3YR +32% vs -13%, 5YR +30% vs +4%. Across the mentioned periods also the volatity of the returns is around 30% lower.

LEI 16 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 1

LEI 16.1

Provide examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view and/or performance during the reporting year.

☑ ESG issue 1



ESG issue and explanation

Exposure to gambling and lottery. During the reporting year Greece was included into our Emerging Europe geographic universe. Based on our low tolerance towards the industry negative screening was applied to define our internal coverage universe in Greece.

ESG incorporation strategy applied

Screening

Impact on investment decision or performance

Excluded 2 Greek companies from our internal coverage universe: Intralot SA, OPAP SA

SG issue 2

ESG issue 2

ESG issue 4

ESG issue 5

Communication

LEI 17 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 2,6

LEI 17.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

http://www.avaron.com/?id=2250

LEI 17.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

LEI 17.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- O Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used



	LEI 17.4	Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.	
Quarterly or more frequently			
○ Biannually			
	Annually		
	O Less fred	quently than annually	
	O Ad-hoc/v	vhen requested	

○ No

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries



AS Avaron Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview LEA 01 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 2 LEA 01.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy. O Yes No

We do not have a separate formal engagement practice, instead engagement related practises are outlined in our Responsible Investment Policy (http://www.avaron.com/files/PRI/2012.07_Avaron_SRI_policy.pdf).

LEA 02 Mandatory Gateway PRI 1,2,3

Additional information [optional]

LEA 02.1

LEA 01.5

Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries these interactions out.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
engagements	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/inreased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☑ We do not engage via service providers

Process



Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

LEA 03.2 Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

□ Geography/market of the companies targeted
□ Materiality of ESG factors
□ Systemic risks to global portfolios
□ Exposure (holdings)
□ In reaction to ESG impacts which has already taken place
□ As a response to divestment pressure
□ Other, describe

○ No

LEA 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Engagement is pursued in case the in-house ESG analysis indicates a breach with the internally set ESG criteria for companies in our internal coverage universe. The breach triggers "engage or exclude" action. Engagement activities are prioritised based upon the assessment of the severity of the breach. After the breach has been detected the responsible investment team member shall assess the gravity/severity of the breach, namely:

- the temporal proximity (when and for how long has the incident occurred);
- size (financial costs, land polluted etc. associated with the incident);
- credibility (allegations, legal action taken etc.);
- and repetitiveness (is the incident a one-off incident or it is evidence of systematic failings over a period of time).

Emphasis is also placed on a company's response to the incident, with favourable consideration for positive and responsible practices taken by the company to ensure that such a breach does not occur again. For some controversial activities, in addition to the level of involvement, it is also important to consider how the company approaches and considers its potentially contentious activities. Therefore, the presence (or absence) of relevant and targeted responsible policy that acknowledges the company's involvement in an activity, as well as the existence of systems and practices taken to ensure that it operates in a responsible manner, are important elements in the assessment. If the company fails to address our concerns within a reasonable timeframe we exclude it from our investment universe.

LEA 04 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 2



LEA 04.1	O4.1 Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.		
Yes			
Y	es, for all engagement activities		
○ Y	es, for the majority of engagement activities		
\circ Y	es, for a minority of engagement activities		
○ No			
LEA 04.2	Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.		
Yes			
Y	es, in all cases		
○ Y	es, in the majority of cases		
○ Y	es, in the minority of cases		
\bigcirc No			
LEA 04.3	Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.		
○ Yes			
No			

Once a certain issue has been raised with a company, including also an indication of the timeframe within we expect action to be taken, we monitor the progress via keeping an active dialogue with the management.

Additional information. [Optional]

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations LEA 05 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 2 LEA 05.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements O Yes O No LEA 05.3 Additional information [Optional]

Avaron participates in collaborative engagements only if these have material effect on our core investment universe, Emerging Europe listed equities.

LEA 06 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 2



LEA 04.5

LEA 06.1

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

- Yes
 - O Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - O Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- \bigcirc No

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
 - O Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- \bigcirc No

LEA 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals related to engagement activities carried out via collaborations.

- Yes
- No

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 09

Voluntary

Additional Assessed

PRI 1,2

LEA 09.1

Indicate if insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers as input for consideration in investment decisions.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	○ Yes, systematically● Yes, occasionally○ No

LEA 10

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 2

LEA 10.1

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.



Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 11

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 11.1

Indicate the number of companies with which your organisation engaged during the reporting year.

	Number of companies engaged (avoid double counting, see explanatory notes)	Proportion (to the nearest 5%)	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Number of companies engaged	Proportion (to the nearest 5%)	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated of the total number of companies you hold of the total value of your listed equity holdings
Collaborative engagements	0	Proportion (to the nearest 5%)	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated of the total number of companies you hold of the total value of your listed equity holdings

LEA 11.2

Indicate the proportion of engagements that involved multiple, substantive and detailed discussions or interactions with a company during the reporting year relating to ESG issue.



Type of engagement	% Comprehensive engagements
	O 10-50%
In dividual / Internal atoff on an arrange	○ <10%
Individual / Internal staff engagements	○ None
	○ >50%
	O 10-50%
Callah and the second and	○ <10%
Collaborative engagements	None

LEA 11.3

Indicate the percentage of your collaborative engagements for which you were a leading organisation during the reporting year.

Type of engagement	% Leading role
	○ >50%
	O 10-50%
Oallah anatina anananana	○ <10%
Collaborative engagements	None

LEA 12		Volun	tary	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA	12.1	Indicate if your engagement involved:		
	V L	etters to	outline the engagement and the objectives		
		O In so	me cases		
		O In ma	ajority cases		
		In all	cases		
	☑ N	leetings	and/or calls with the appropriate team		
		O In so	me cases		
		O In ma	ajority cases		
		In all	cases		
	\Box \lor	isits to o	perations		
	□R	oadshov	vs		
	□Е	SG rese	arch		
		ther, spe	ecify		
LEA 42		Value	tom	Additional Assessed	DDLA

Indicate if your engagements in the reporting year covered E, S and/or G issues.



LEA 13.1

Type of engagement	Coverage
	☑ Environmental
	☐ Social
In dividual / Internal atoff on so some arts	☐ Corporate Governance
Individual / Internal staff engagements	$\hfill\square$ We do not track this information
	☐ Environmental
	□ Social
Callah aratica arang ara	☐ Corporate Governance
Collaborative engagements	$\hfill\square$ We do not track this information

LEA 13.2 Provide an estimated breakdown by E, S and/or G issues.

Individual / Internal staff engagements

% Environmental only

100

100%

Collaborative engagements

100%

LEA 13.3 Additional information. [optional optional opt

We did not participate in any collaborative engagements during the reporting year.

LEA 14 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 14.1

Indicate whether you have a reliable estimate of the number of cases during the reporting year where a company changed its practices, or made a formal commitment to do so, following your organisation's and/or your service provider's engagement activities.

Yes

LEA 14.2

Indicate the number of companies that changed or committed to change in the reporting year following your organisation's and/or your service provider's engagement activities.



	Number of company changes or commitments to change
Individual / Internal staff engagements	1
Collaborative engagements	

○ No

LEA 15	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 2

LEA 15.1

Provide examples of the engagements that your organisation carried out during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1



also had a follow-up meeting with the company representatives to discuss some of aspects of the issue in detail. Outcomes We decided to keep the company in our investment universe as we considered their reaction	Topic or ESG issue	Environment: River pollution by an intergated oil & gas company in Romania due to an oil spill from the transport pipe		
Scope and Process After the pollution event we contacted the company via e-mail outlining our questions and reasoning for the engagement. After the receipt of the fromal response from the company, walso had a follow-up meeting with the company representatives to discuss some of aspects of the issue in detail. Outcomes We decided to keep the company in our investment universe as we considered their reaction to the event swift and professional, and were given assurance that an investment project has been put in place to renew the critical parts of the pipeline. In addition, the company has a long-term ongoing pipeline integrity program in place that should substantially mitigate any spillage events in the future. Add Example 2 Add Example 3 Add Example 4 Add Example 6 Add Example 6 Add Example 7 Add Example 8 Add Example 9				
Process After the poliution event we contacted the company via e-final reasoning for the engagement. After the receipt of the fromal response from the company, we also had a follow-up meeting with the company representatives to discuss some of aspects of the issue in detail. Outcomes We decided to keep the company in our investment universe as we considered their reaction to the event swift and professional, and were given assurance that an investment project has been put in place to renew the critical parts of the pipeline. In addition, the company has a long-term ongoing pipeline integrity program in place that should substantially mitigate any spillage events in the future. Add Example 2 Add Example 3 Add Example 4 Add Example 5 Add Example 6 Add Example 7 Add Example 8 Add Example 9	Objectives	To assess the potential financial impact on the company To assess the risk of similar future events		
We decided to keep the company in our investment universe as we considered their reaction to the event swift and professional, and were given assurance that an investment project has been put in place to renew the critical parts of the pipeline. In addition, the company has a long-term ongoing pipeline integrity program in place that should substantially mitigate any spillage events in the future. Add Example 2 Add Example 3 Add Example 4 Add Example 5 Add Example 6 Add Example 7 Add Example 8 Add Example 9	· ·	reasoning for the engagement. After the receipt of the fromal response from the company, we also had a follow-up meeting with the company representatives to discuss some of aspects of		
□ Add Example 3 □ Add Example 4 □ Add Example 5 □ Add Example 6 □ Add Example 7 □ Add Example 8 □ Add Example 9	Outcomes	long-term ongoing pipeline integrity program in place that should substantially mitigate any		
□ Add Example 4 □ Add Example 5 □ Add Example 6 □ Add Example 7 □ Add Example 8 □ Add Example 9	☐ Add I	I Example 2		
□ Add Example 5 □ Add Example 6 □ Add Example 7 □ Add Example 8 □ Add Example 9	□ Add I	Example 3		
□ Add Example 6 □ Add Example 7 □ Add Example 8 □ Add Example 9	□ Add I	☐ Add Example 4		
□ Add Example 7 □ Add Example 8 □ Add Example 9	□ Add Example 5			
☐ Add Example 8 ☐ Add Example 9	☐ Add Example 6			
☐ Add Example 9	□ Add Example 7			
	☐ Add I	□ Add Example 8		
☐ Add Example 10				
	☐ Add I			

Communication

LEA 16 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 2,6

LEA 16.1 Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- \bigcirc We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEA 16.5

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.



	Engagement information disclosed
	of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
✓ Number	or of engagements
☑ Breakdo	own of engagements by type/topic
☐ Breakdo	own of engagements by region
☐ An asse	essment of the current status of the engagement
Outcom	nes that have been achieved from the engagement
☐ Other in	nformation
LEA 16.6	Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information
O Disclose	ed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
Disclose	ed quarterly or more frequently
O Disclose	ed biannually
O Disclose	ed annually
O Disclose	ed less frequently than annually
O Ad hoc/	/when requested
We do not proa	actively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.
E A 16.8 Add	ditional information. [Optional]
nformation on en	gagements are disclosed on quarterly basis in the ESG themed section in our investor
newsletter and in	our annual RI report.
xy) voting an	nd shareholder resolutions
erview	

LEA 17.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

Mandatory

Yes



Gateway



PRI 1,2,3

LEA 17.2	Indicate what your voting policy covers:					
☐ Conflicts	□ Conflicts of interest					
☑ Prioritisat	☑ Prioritisation of voting activities					
☐ Transpar	☐ Transparency					
☐ Decision	☐ Decision making processes					
☐ Environmental factors						
☐ Social fac	□ Social factors					
☑ Governance factors						
□ Securities	☐ Securities lending process					
☐ Other, describe						
□ None of the above						
LEA 17.3 Please attach or provide a URL to your voting policy. [Optional]						
	URL					

http://www.avaron.com/files/PRI/2011.10.10 Exercise of voting rights strategy.pdf

LEA 17.4

Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting (including the filing and/or co-filing of shareholder resolutions if applicable).

Avaron normally participates on behalf of the managed portfolios where it holds the right to exercise ownership rights, in shareholders' meetings, according to the level of advantage that this participation would bring to the portfolios, as well as for the opportunity to affect decisions. We participate in all shareholders' meetings where the portfolios that carry ownership rights in aggregate hold 5% or more of the company's share capital. If the set limit is not exceeded, then participation and voting rights shall be exercised if required by the need to safeguard the interest of the clients.

 \bigcirc No

	roce				
LEA 18		Manda	atory	Descriptive	PRI 2
			Indicate how you typically make your (proxy)	voting decisions and what thi	is approach is based
	LEA 18.1 Indicate on.			voting decisions and what thi	s approach is baseu
			Approach		

• We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.



		ur own voting polic	СУ				
	O 0U	ur clients' requests	s or policy				
	O otl	her, explain					
		e service provider decisions.	(s) that make votin	g recommer	ndations or provide research th	nat we use	e to inform
			(s) that make votin		on our behalf, except for some	e pre-defir	ned
	○ We hire	e service provider	(s) that make votin	g decisions	on our behalf.		
LEA 20	Volun	tary			Descriptive		PRI 2
	LEA 20.1	To ensure that if you do the fol		are cast and	d reach their intended destinat	ion on tim	e, indicate
	● for a○ for a	majority of cases minority of cases e in projects to im	3		ged obtain vote confirmation		
	LEA 20.2	Provide additio	nal information on	your organis	sation's vote confirmation effor	ts.	
					o provides us confirmation on rectly if deemed necessary.	cast votes	s. In case of
LEA 21	Volun	tary	-		Additional Assessed		PRI 2
	LEA 21.1	Indicate if your	organisation has a	securities le	ending programme.		
	○ Yes						
	No						
	LEA 21	.3 Please exp	olain the rationale t	pehind not h	aving a securities lending prog	gramme	
		y of our AUM (909 et owners directly		managed a	ccounts where the owership rig	ghts are e	xercised by

Based primarily on



PRI 2

Core Assessed

LEA 22

Mandatory

LEA 22.1

Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.

Yes, in most cases

O Sometimes, in the following cases:

 \bigcirc No

 Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 22.2

Additional information. [Optional]

In case of abstaining or voting against the management recommendations the aim is to send a clear message to the company, thus in most cases we also communicate the rationale to the management of the company.

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 23

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 23.1

For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

34

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- O of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- O of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 23.2

If there are specific reasons why you did not vote certain holdings, explain these, and if possible, indicate the percentage of holdings affected by these factors. [Optional]

We participate in all shareholders' meetings where the portfolios that carry ownership rights in aggregate hold 5% or more of the company's share capital. If the set limit is not exceeded, then participation and voting rights shall be exercised if required by the need to safeguard the interest of the clients.

O We do not track or collect this information



LEA 23.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Data reported here does not include managed accounts where asset owners exercise the ownership rights on their own.

LEA 24 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 24.1

Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your third party have issued on your behalf.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 24.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties have issued on your behalf, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	87
Against (opposing) management recommendations	%
Abstentions	8 %
	5

100%

LEA 24.3

For the reporting year, describe your approach towards voting on shareholder resolutions.

Avaron normally participates on behalf of the managed portfolios where it holds the right to exercise ownership rights, in shareholders' meetings, according to the level of advantage that this participation would bring to the portfolios, as well as for the opportunity to affect decisions. We participate in all shareholders' meetings where the portfolios that carry ownership rights in aggregate hold 5% or more of the company's share capital. If the set limit is not exceeded, then participation and voting rights shall be exercised if required by the need to safeguard the interest of the clients.

O No, we do not track this information

LEA 25 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 2



LEA 25.1

Indicate if your organisation directly or via a service provider filed or co-filed any shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.

○ Yes

No

LEA 26 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 26.1

Provide examples of the (proxy) voting activities that your organisation and/or service provider carried out during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1

Topic or ESG issue	Governance			
Decision made by	☑ Internal staff□ Service provider			
Objectives	Reduction of the threshold for the attainment of controlling interest			
Scope and Process	Management of the Austrian real estate company Immofinanz proposed to reduce the threshold for the attainment of controlling interest as defined in the section 22 para 2 Austrian Takeover Act to 15% at the EGM. Avaron voted FOR as lower threshold is more favourable to financial shareholders in the case of potential corporate action.			
Outcomes	The threshold was lowered to 15%			
☐ Add Example 2				
C Add Everyle 2				

 \square Add Example 3

 $\hfill\square$ Add Example 4

 \square Add Example 5

 \square Add Example 6

 $\hfill\square$ Add Example 7

 $\hfill\square$ Add Example 8

 $\hfill\square$ Add Example 9

☐ Add Example 10

Comm	ш	nıc	:at	ior

LEA 27 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 2,6



LEA 27.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- O We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA 27.5

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- O All voting decisions
- O Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- O Explain all voting decisions
- O Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 27.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- O Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- O Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad hoc/as requested
- O We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

LEA 27.8

Additional information, [Optional]

Voting activity is disclosed to the clients once a year in the themed quarterly ESG section of our monthly newsletters and in our annual RI report.

